

# Adult Social Care Scrutiny Committee Agenda

---

<b>Date:</b>	<b>Wednesday, 18th January, 2012</b>
<b>Time:</b>	<b>10.00 am</b>
<b>Venue:</b>	<b>Committee Suite 2/3 - Westfields, Middlewich Road, Sandbach, CW11 1HZ</b>

---

The agenda is divided into 2 parts. Part 1 is taken in the presence of the public and press. Part 2 items will be considered in the absence of the public and press for the reasons indicated on the agenda and at the foot of each report.

## **PART 1 – MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED WITH THE PUBLIC AND PRESS PRESENT**

1. **Apologies for Absence**

2. **Minutes of Previous meeting** (Pages 1 - 6)

To approve the minutes of the meeting held on 22 November 2011.

3. **Declaration of Interests/Party Whip**

To provide an opportunity for Members and Officers to declare any personal and/or prejudicial interests or members to declare the existence of a party whip in relation to any item on the agenda.

4. **Public Speaking Time/Open Session**

A total period of 15 minutes is allocated for members of the public to make a statement(s) on any matter that falls within the remit of the Committee.

Individual members of the public may speak for up to 5 minutes, but the Chairman will decide how the period of time allocated for public speaking will be apportioned, where there are a number of speakers

Note: In order for officers to undertake any background research, it would be helpful if members of the public notified the Scrutiny officer listed at the foot of the agenda, at least one working day before the meeting with brief details of the matter to be covered.

5. **Adult Social Care Budget - update on 2011/12 Outturn**

To consider a report of the Strategic Director of Children, Families and Adults. (To Follow)

6. **Disabled Facilities Grant**

To consider a presentation from the Private Sector Housing Manager.

7. **Work Programme update** (Pages 7 - 22)

To give consideration to the work programme.

8. **Forward Plan - extracts**

To note the current Forward Plan, identify any new items and to determine whether any further examination of new issues is appropriate.

**CHESHIRE EAST COUNCIL**

Minutes of a meeting of the **Adult Social Care Scrutiny Committee**  
held on Tuesday, 22nd November, 2011 at Committee Suite 1,2 & 3,  
Westfields, Middlewich Road, Sandbach CW11 1HZ

**PRESENT**

Councillor M J Simon (Chairman)

Councillors C Andrew, I Faseyi, J Jackson, L Jeuda, S Jones, B Murphy,  
J Saunders and D Bebbington

**Apologies**

Councillors B Silvester and F Keegan

**In Attendance**

Councillors R Domleo, O Hunter and D Flude

**Officers**

Lucia Scally - Head of Strategic Commissioning and Safeguarding  
Liz Austin - Strategic Commissioning Manager  
Sue Crompton - Performance Standards & Information Manager  
Jacqui Evans - Head of Local Delivery/Independent Living Services  
Alison McCudden - Commissioning Manager (Income Maximisation)  
Jill Greenwood - Commissioning and Provider Service Manager  
Mark Grimshaw – Scrutiny Officer

**31 MINUTES OF PREVIOUS MEETING**

RESOLVED – That the minutes of the meeting held on 22 September 2011 be approved as a correct record and signed by the Chairman.

**32 DECLARATION OF INTERESTS/PARTY WHIP**

Councillor Shirley Jones declared a personal interest by virtue of being a member of the Alzheimer's Society.

**33 PUBLIC SPEAKING TIME/OPEN SESSION**

Mrs. Mabel Taylor, a Knutsford resident, attended to speak on the proposed changes to adult services transport provision in the Macclesfield and Wilmslow area. She expressed a concern that residents in rural areas would be adversely affected by the proposed changes as services became more centralised.

In terms of transport services transferring to Dial-a-ride, it was queried whether the dial-a-ride buses would be suitably adapted for wheelchair users, whether the

buses would be manned by two people and finally whether service users would be charged more than they were currently paying for a similar service.

Mrs. Charlotte Peters-Rock, a member of 'Knutsford Area for Knutsford Action', queried whether the Committee had any plans to establish a Task and Finish Group to look at the health and wellbeing of carers. It was suggested that this could be a joint review with the Health and Wellbeing Scrutiny Committee or indeed with Chester and Cheshire West.

She also questioned why Cheshire East felt it was necessary to have a police presence at a recent consultation event in Knutsford.

The Chairman thanked the members of the public for their comments and reassured them they would receive a written response to their concerns which would also be shared with the Adult Social Care Scrutiny Committee.

### **34 CHESHIRE AND WIRRAL COUNCILS' JOINT SCRUTINY COMMITTEE MINUTES**

The Committee received the minutes of the Joint Scrutiny Committee held on 10 October 2011. A query was raised with regards to the future of the Committee chairmanship and whether this would be on a two year rotation. It was stated that the work of this Committee was vital as it looked closely at issues around mental health.

Lucia Scally, Head of Integrated Strategic Commissioning and Safeguarding, confirmed that at the Health and Wellbeing Scrutiny Committee, it had been noted that the rotation of the chairmanship along with other issues would be discussed at the joint Committee's next meeting scheduled for the 23 January 2012.

RESOLVED - That the minutes be received.

### **35 PROGRESS REPORT ON THE ADULTS LOCAL ACCOUNT**

Sue Crompton, Performance Standards & Information Manager, attended to update the Committee on a report received by the Health and Adult Social Care Committee on 10 March 2011 and to inform the Committee on the progress being made to produce a Local Account for Adults who were in receipt or might require social care intervention.

Providing the context, Sue Crompton explained that the government had announced changes to the way that local authority social care services were assessed. She reported that previously, councils had been assessed each year by the Care Quality Commission (CQC) and through the national indicator set. Both the Annual Performance Assessment by the CQC and the National Indicator Set requirements have ended.

In their place, Sue Crompton explained that the Government had suggested the following:

- 1) Local Account

- A document published by a local authority to illustrate how it believes it has made progress on achieving its goals for adult social care over the year.

### 2) Outcome Measures

- These would be published annually so that national comparisons could be made across Councils on a number of different measures.

Very simply, the Local Account would be a tool for accountability which would promote transparency and help councils and citizens alike to scrutinise progress against set priorities and outcomes.

Sue Crompton described how Cheshire East Council had established a 'Local Account Steering Group' to oversee the development of the Local Account. Part of this process had been to develop a questionnaire in order to ascertain what local people and service users would see as their priorities. Sue Crompton added that the steering group were hopeful that the first Local Account would be published in September 2012.

Clarification was sought as to whether the Local Account would cover private care or just what Cheshire East commissioned. Sue Crompton confirmed that the CQC were still doing inspections of private care homes. Lucia Scally added that the role of the Local Account was to provide qualitative information and would inform the commissioning process in the future.

A query was made with regards to Local Indicator LI002, provided in the appendix. It was suggested that data on this indicator would have been more meaningful if those service users who had not been able to receive services due to hospitalisation would have been separated. Jacqui Evans, Head of Local Delivery/Independent Living Services, acknowledged that this needed to be done and reassured the Committee that work was going on to achieve this.

A number of comments were made with regards to methodology used for consulting with service users over the formulation of the Local Account. Lucia Scally asserted that the methodology had been comprehensive as the online survey was easy to use and access and for those who could not access it; face-to-face consultation exercises were planned. It was acknowledged that there might be lessons to learn but as this was the first time a Local Account frame work had to be developed, this was to be expected. She also noted that the survey only represented the first stage of the process and that the next stage would be about how the service intended to gather local views as effectively as possible. It was suggested that a report could be brought back to the Committee to present the findings from stage 1 and to outline the way forward for stage 2.

RESOLVED –

- a) That the progress on the Local Account development be noted.
- b) That a further progress report be brought to the Committee once the findings from stage 1 are collated and that this report also include information on the way forward for stage 2.

**36 UP-DATE REPORT ON THE REVIEW OF ADULT SERVICES TRANSPORT**

Alison McCudden, Commissioning Manager (Income Maximisation), attended to present on progress made on a programme approved by Cabinet on 14 March 2011 to move away from Strategically Commissioned Adult Transport provision over 2011/12 and 2012/13. She noted that there was recognition that a small element of strategically commissioned transport for those individuals who could not be supported by alternative transport options would need to be retained. She reported that Cabinet and the Adult Services Portfolio Holder had requested a phased approach to the transport strategy in order to provide proof of concept with viable market alternatives before implementing a full scale roll out.

As a result, the programme had been implemented for approximately 200 service users in the Crewe and Nantwich area firstly. Alison McCudden explained that this area had been chosen because it had the greatest amount of transportation; it was densely populated and had the greatest opportunity for market development. She continued to outline how alternative transport had been provided for these service users, with the main aim being to establish a mixed economy where people had choice and a number of appropriate options available to them. This included the use of dial-a-ride, shared taxis and more specialist vehicles. Alison McCudden made it clear that service users would only be moved to alternative transport if the service felt that the means met that person's transport needs as well as, if not better than their previous service. If that alternative was not available, adult services would continue to commission a Council service.

Alison McCudden made the final point that the service were now assessing service users in the Macclesfield and Wilmslow areas and that they aimed to roll out the programme to these residents by March 2012.

A comment was made that it was important to encourage people to use dial-a-ride as this often helped service users and their carers' access care facilities which often had limited car parking. It was questioned therefore whether dial-a-ride would transport the carer as well as the service user as the presence of a carer was vital for people suffering with dementia for example. Alison McCudden acknowledged the point and confirmed that she would feed this back to dial-a-ride.

A query was made with regard to the nine Macon House service users who had high and complex needs. It was questioned that if the service had yet to negotiate alternative provision, how were the service users being transported. Alison McCudden reported that the service had and would continue to commission a Council service until an appropriate alternative could be found.

Clarification was sought as to whether taxi drivers had the adequate training to transport service users. Alison McCudden confirmed that all taxi drivers had an enhanced CRB. In terms of taxi use it was also queried whether rural communities were more dependent on taxis over other forms of transport. Alison McCudden explained that this analysis had yet to be completed and reassured the Committee that not one service user would be left without an appropriate transport option following the end of the programme.

The Adult Services Portfolio holder added that this report demonstrated a 'good news story' as the process had been done comprehensively and sensitively.

As a final point, the Chairman noted the good work that had been illustrated by the report and suggested that it would be useful for the Committee to receive an update report once the programme had been rolled out in the Macclesfield and Wilmslow areas. It was also suggested that this report could include information on the potential and actualised savings following the implementation of the programme.

RESOLVED –

- a) That the report be noted.
- b) That an update report be brought back to a future meeting and that this include information on the programme roll out in the Macclesfield and Wilmslow areas and information on the potential and actualised savings following the implementation of the programme as a whole.

### **37 INFORMATION AND COMMUNICATION PROGRAMME**

Liz Austin, Strategic Commissioning Manager, attended to inform the Committee of the future plan of work being developed for Adults on the Information and Communication Programme. She explained that the programme was a developing plan that would use new and existing media to form a comprehensive range of information for Cheshire East residents.

Liz Austin continued to report that it was proposed to set up an Information and Communication steering group who would be tasked to set out a clear framework of communication activities after considering a number of key questions such as:

- What were the communications objectives
- Who were the main target groups
- What was the main message
- Did the information need to be in an accessible format
- Did the programme do the job.

She explained that the service were already aware of a number of challenges. Indeed, it had been recognised that as Cheshire East had an aging population the service needed to be highly sensitive to the needs of different demographic groups and therefore bespoke the message and medium accordingly. With this in mind, Liz Austin reassured the Committee that whilst communication methods such as letters and face-to-face contact were comparatively expensive, they would continue to make these available as it was known some residents preferred this type of interaction.

A number of comments were made that agreed with this sentiment. A concern was expressed that if Cheshire East relied on solely cost effective and technology based methods such as the internet and digital TV, a number of residents would be left isolated. Jill Greenwood, Commissioning Manager, agreed and drew attention to the Knowledge Transfer Partnership which was a project working to train local shopkeepers and hairdressers who often had close contact with older residents, to signpost to services and offer advice. Attention was drawn to the experience in one ward where it had been found the most effective methods for

engaging with older residents had been through faith groups, leaflets and the Citizen's Advice Bureau.

The point was made that one effective way of communicating with residents was through the Councillors themselves. It was suggested therefore that a training session could be provided for Councillors so that they could effectively communicate messages regarding adult services to residents in their respective wards. It was also suggested that it might be appropriate to have some Member input into the steering group process. On this latter point, Councillor Domleo noted that Councillor Hunter would be informally involved in the steering group. This was acknowledged but it was added that scrutiny representation would also be germane.

Jill Greenwood suggested that a demonstration of the Information Gateway, Digital TV and Demenshare be brought back to the next meeting for information.

RESOLVED –

- a) That the proposal to form a steering group to oversee work required to develop the Adults Information and Communication Programme be supported and that informal Member input to this group be sought from the Cabinet Support Member and Scrutiny Committee.
- b) That the work streams of the Information and Communication Steering Group be supported.
- c) That a training session for Members on effective communication to adult service users be provided in the near future.
- d) That a demonstration of the Information Gateway, Digital TV and Demenshare be brought to the next meeting scheduled 21 December 2011.

### **38 WORK PROGRAMME UPDATE**

Members considered the work programme.

RESOLVED –

- a) That the following items be added to the work programme
  - a. Improvements to Adult Social Care Services – 21 December 2011
  - b. Empower card – 18 January 2012

### **39 FORWARD PLAN - EXTRACTS**

The Committee gave consideration to the extracts of the forward plan which fell within the remit of the Committee.

RESOLVED – That the forward plan be noted.

The meeting commenced at 10.30 am and concluded at 12.35 pm

Councillor M J Simon (Chairman)

## **CHESHIRE EAST COUNCIL**

### **REPORT TO: ADULT SOCIAL CARE SCRUTINY COMMITTEE**

---

**Date of Meeting:** 18 January 2012  
**Report of:** Borough Solicitor  
**Subject/Title:** Work Programme update

---

#### **1.0 Report Summary**

- 1.1 To review items in the 2012 Work Programme, to consider the efficacy of existing items listed in the schedule attached, together with any other items suggested by Committee Members.

#### **2.0 Recommendations**

- 2.1 That the work programme be received and noted.

#### **3.0 Reasons for Recommendations**

- 3.1 It is good practice to agree and review the Work Programme to enable effective management of the Committee's business.

#### **4.0 Wards Affected**

- 4.1 All

#### **5.0 Local Ward Members**

- 5.1 Not applicable.

#### **6.0 Policy Implications including - Climate change - Health**

- 6.1 Not known at this stage.

#### **7.0 Financial Implications for Transition Costs**

- 7.1 None identified at the moment.

#### **8.0 Legal Implications (Authorised by the Borough Solicitor)**

- 8.1 None.

#### **9.0 Risk Management**

9.1 There are no identifiable risks.

## **10.0 Background and Options**

10.1 In reviewing the work programme, Members must pay close attention to the Corporate Plan and Sustainable Communities Strategy.

10.2 The schedule attached, has been updated in line with the Adult Social Care Committee's recommendations on 22 November 2011. Following this meeting the document will be updated so that all the appropriate targets will be included within the schedule.

10.3 In reviewing the work programme, Members must have regard to the general criteria which should be applied to all potential items, including Task and Finish reviews, when considering whether any Scrutiny activity is appropriate. Matters should be assessed against the following criteria:

- Does the issue fall within a corporate priority
- Is the issue of key interest to the public
- Does the matter relate to a poor or declining performing service for which there is no obvious explanation
- Is there a pattern of budgetary overspends
- Is it a matter raised by external audit management letters and or audit reports?
- Is there a high level of dissatisfaction with the service

10.4 If during the assessment process any of the following emerge, then the topic should be rejected:

- The topic is already being addressed elsewhere
- The matter is subjudice
- Scrutiny cannot add value or is unlikely to be able to conclude an investigation within the specified timescale

## **11.0 Access to Information**

The background papers relating to this report can be inspected by contacting the report writer:

Name: Mark Grimshaw  
Designation: Scrutiny Officer  
Tel No: 01270 685680  
Email: [mark.grimshaw@cheshireeast.gov.uk](mailto:mark.grimshaw@cheshireeast.gov.uk)

**As of 10/01/2012**

**Adult Social Care Scrutiny Committee: September 2011 – April 2012**

**Portfolio Holder – Roland Domleo**

**Historical Record**

<b>Date of Meeting</b>	<b>Agenda Setting Meeting</b>	<b>Topic</b>	<b>Purpose/Key issues (including origin)</b>	<b>Comments post meeting</b>
20 July 2011	11 July 2011	Disabled Facilities Grant and Home Improvement Grant	A review of Home Improvement Agency services was carried out in 2010 with a view to streamlining the service into 1 service across Cheshire East, to improve delivery of Disabled Facilities Grants. A procurement process has been undertaken and once tenders have been evaluated a report will be submitted to Cabinet either recommending awarding a contract, or recommending bringing the service in-house. This is very much tied up with adult social care redesign, and the HIA is the main delivery agent for the Disabled Facilities Grants	That the Committee receive robust figures in terms of the potential cost savings as a result of DFGs at a future meeting in order for a potential case to be constructed for increasing Cheshire East's budget contribution and to have conversations with health partners regarding match funding.
		Think Local Act Personal – A National Strategy for Local Implementation	To consider the strategy prior to Cabinet decision on 1 August 2011.	That it be recommended to the Director of Adults, Community, Health and Wellbeing that alternative means be sought in terms of communicating information about Adult Social Care services to the public alongside the on-

				<p>line citizen portal.</p> <p>That the Committee continue to monitor the personalisation agenda to assess its effectiveness for vulnerable people.</p> <p>That the Committee receive regular updates on the Adult Social Care service, including allocated budget, spend and impact on service users.</p>
		Adult Social Care Budget	To receive a verbal brief outlining pressure points on budget	<p>That the Committee review the efficacy of the six week free support policy at a future meeting.</p> <p>That the Committee consider an item on 'invest to save' policies at every formal meeting, the results of which to be potentially fed into the Budget Task and Finish Group.</p>
22 September 2011	11 July 2011	Management Structure Update	To receive verbal brief	That the Committee receive a detailed breakdown of the adults' budget, including statutory and discretionary (ring fenced and non ring fenced) elements and information on overspend and under spend.
		Ofsted Inspection of Safeguarding and looked after children services	To consider the report	That the number relating to the percentage of households with Adult Mental Health issues with children present be circulated to the Committee for information.

				<p>That in six months, the Strategic Director bring back the following to the Committee:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a) Progress made against areas for improvement relevant to the Adult Social Care Scrutiny Committee with measurable and time related outcomes.</li> <li>b) Information on the further steps that were taken to demonstrate Cheshire East's ambition to become at least good if not outstanding in the forthcoming years.</li> </ul> <p>That it be noted that a consultation on the inspection framework was being undertaken by OFSTED.</p>
		Q1 Performance/Finance Report		<p>That the Quarter 1 Performance/Finance Report be brought to a future meeting.</p> <p>That for future meetings, the budget report presented to Cabinet be brought to Scrutiny with a report outlining the potential implications and reasons behind the figures.</p>
		Strategy for Carers in East Cheshire 2011-15	Members to consider the strategy	<p>That the Strategy for Carer's 2011-2015 be noted.</p> <p>That progress on the implementation plan be brought back to the Committee</p>

				<p>in six months time and this include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a) Measureable and time-related outcomes</li> <li>b) Progress on the development and efficacy of an inter-agency dialogue process to flag up issues regarding young carers' needs.</li> </ul> <p>That it be recommended to Cabinet that they lobby the government and local MPs for more respite provision for carers and for perverse benefit outcomes to be stopped.</p> <p>That the point concerning supporting carers once they stop being a carer be fed to the Carer's Interagency Group and that the result of this be circulated to the Committee.</p>
		Care Quality Commission		<p>That the presentation be noted</p> <p>That the Committee join the CQC national sounding board.</p>
		Work Programme update		<p>That a Task and Finish Review on the future arrangements/provision of residential care be established with the following Membership</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a) Councillor Peter Raynes</li> <li>b) Councillor Laura Jeuda</li> <li>c) Councillor Frank Keegan</li> <li>d) Councillor Dorothy Flude</li> </ul>

				<p>e) Councillor Irene Faseyi</p> <p>That the Quarter 2 Performance/Finance report be added to the work programme and for it to include emerging budget proposals for 2012/13.</p> <p>That a full structure chart be distributed to the Committee.</p>
27 October 2011		Briefing Report on the financial position of the Adults Social Care Budget.	Members to consider	<p>That the report be noted</p> <p>That Lucia Scally bring back any available information to the Committee with regards to the work that was being undertaken to inform self funders about their care options.</p> <p>That Lucia Scally bring back information on what the service was doing in terms of analysing the potential number of self funders returning to Cheshire East care and the impacts of this as part of the next budgetary report</p> <p>That the Committee receive a ½ day training session on the Adult Services budget to firstly provide a foundation on how the Adult Social care budget was formulated and secondly to go over the number of areas of possible interest, including:</p>

				<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Detail on growth pressures (e.g. older people and learning disabilities) and policies aimed to mitigate this (e.g. re-ablement)</li> <li>• Care4CE – the shift from internal provision to Direct Payments and external provision and the impact of this.</li> <li>• Comparisons with other local authorities to explore whether we are getting value for money and whether they have different growth pressures</li> </ul> <p>That the Committee receive regular quarterly budget reports.</p>
		Work Programme.	To be considered and amended as appropriate	<p>That the work programme be noted</p> <p>That an item on the Empower Card be brought to a subsequent Committee as soon as possible.</p> <p>That a Q2 performance and finance report be brought to the informal meeting scheduled for the 21 December 2011.</p> <p>That the Scrutiny Officer make enquiries regarding the attendance of Cheshire East MPs at an Adult Social Care Committee meeting.</p>

22 November 2011		PROGRESS REPORT ON THE ADULTS LOCAL ACCOUNT	To be considered	<p>That the progress on the Local Account development be noted.</p> <p>That a further progress report be brought to the Committee once the findings from stage 1 are collated and that this report also include information on the way forward for stage 2.</p>
		UP-DATE REPORT ON THE REVIEW OF ADULT SERVICES TRANSPORT	To be considered	<p>That the report be noted.</p> <p>That an update report be brought back to a future meeting and that this include information on the programme roll out in the Macclesfield and Wilmslow areas and information on the potential and actualised savings following the implementation of the programme as a whole.</p>
		INFORMATION AND COMMUNICATION PROGRAMME	To be considered	<p>That the proposal to form a steering group to oversee work required to develop the Adults Information and Communication Programme be supported and that informal Member input to this group be sought from the Cabinet Support Member and Scrutiny Committee.</p> <p>That the work streams of the Information and Communication Steering Group be supported.</p> <p>That a training session for Members on effective communication to adult service users be provided in the near</p>

				<p>future.</p> <p>That a demonstration of the Information Gateway, Digital TV and Demenshare be brought to the next meeting scheduled 21 December 2011.</p>
21 December 2011		<p>CABINET REPORT – IMPROVEMENTS IN THE DELIVERY OF ADULT SOCIAL CARE SERVICES – BUILDING BASED SERVICES</p>	To be considered	<p>That the report be noted</p> <p>That thanks be extended to the officers for implementing such a comprehensive consultation process.</p> <p>That a further report, outlining the consultation responses be brought to the Committee on 28 February 2012.</p> <p>That representations be made to Cabinet when they receive the final report regarding the disjoint between assets and adult social care which had a part to play in delaying the review thereby negating any potential savings for 2011/12.</p> <p>That Jill Greenwood explore the queries with regard to Macclesfield Leisure Centre and that the</p>

				response be circulated to the Committee for information
		ADULT SOCIAL CARE FINANCIAL SUMMARY	To be considered	<p>That the report be noted</p> <p>That a report be submitted to the Committee on the 18 January 2012 outlining the proposed savings/pressures for 2012/13 and what the policy implications of these might be.</p> <p>That the Cabinet Member for Adult Social Care be invited to attend the meeting on 18 January 2012.</p>
		WORK PROGRAMME	To be considered	<p>That a performance report be brought to the meeting in March 2012.</p> <p>That subsequent meetings be scheduled for 10am rather than 10.30am.</p>

**Next Agenda Setting Meeting: 25/01/2011**

## **Ongoing items/reviews/Monitoring Papers**

Item	Reporting:	Committee Meeting							
		22/9	27/10	22/11	21/12	18/1	28/2	15/3	17/4
Safeguarding	When appropriate								
Performance/Finance report	Quarterly		X		X			X	
The Cheshire and Wirral Councils' Joint Scrutiny Committee	Monitor minutes of meetings when appropriate		X				X		

## **Possible Future Issues / Items (Chronology)**

**Meeting dates:**

**28 February 2012 (informal), 15 March 2011, 17 April 2012 (informal), 9 May 2012**

Item	Corporate Priority / Targets	Notes	Suggested Action	Due Date and Status
Adult Social Care 2012/13 Budget proposals and implications	Prepare for an increasingly older population.  Drive out the causes of poor health.		Committee to receive a report on the proposals for the 2012/13 Adult Social Care budget and what the implications of these might be.	<b>February 2012</b>  <b>On track</b>
Improvements in the delivery of Adult Social Care Services – Building Based Services.	Prepare for an increasingly older population.  Drive out the causes of poor health.	Follow up from December 2011 Meeting		<b>February 2012</b>  <b>On track</b>

Empower Card	Prepare for an increasingly older population.  Drive out the causes of poor health.		Committee to receive a report on the progress and success of the Empower card.	<b>March 2012</b>  <b>Deferred from January 2012</b>
Performance Report including update on Local Account	Prepare for an increasingly older population.  Drive out the causes of poor		Members to receive a performance report for ASC  Members also to be briefed on results on stage 1 and the way forward for stage 2 for the local account	<b>March 2012</b>  <b>On track</b>
Adult Services Transport	Prepare for an increasingly older population.  Drive out the causes of poor	Follow up from November 2011 meeting	That an update report be brought back to a future meeting and that this include information on the programme roll out in the Macclesfield and Wilmslow areas and information on the potential and actualised savings following the implementation of the programme as a whole.	<b>March 2012</b>  <b>On track</b>
Carers Strategy	Prepare for an increasingly older population	Following from report in September 2011 – Committee to follow up on actions. Review of implementation plan.		<b>April 2011</b>  <b>On track</b>
OFSTED Report – Safeguarding and Looked After children services	Support our children and young people		Committee to receive	<b>April 2011</b>  <b>On track</b>

## **Items to be considered:**

- Wishing Well (post Age Concern)
- Commissioning Strategy/Whole System Commissioning – GP Consortia Pilot
- Development of a protocol for informing the public about changes in social care arrangements
- Charging policy
- New Dementia Unit and Hollins View – Business Case
- Personalisation agenda
- Local Involvement Network (LINK) – Work Programme; Future arrangements and transition to Local Healthwatch

## **Training Requirements/Site Visits:**

- Explanation of Department functions along with emerging policy context for ASC – **tba – part of special meeting April 2012?**
- Effective communication with regards to adult services

## **Disregarded / Discontinued Items**

<b>Item</b>	<b>Date</b>	<b>Reason</b>
Understanding the personalisation agenda	11/07/2011	Included in 'Think Local, Act Personal' item
Support for Carers	11/08/2011	Superseded by Carers Strategy
Understanding the 'lifestyle concept' including lifestyle centres	14/09/2011	To be considered by H&WB Committee
Review of the efficiency of people who self fund social care	07.10.2011	To be considered as part of the residential provision T&F review.

## Task Groups – potential/current/completed

Title	Notes	Actions
Dementia Services	Postponed due to election. Agreed to continue the work of the T&F group	Reconvened – expected to report
Future Arrangements/Provision of Residential Care	<p>At the meeting of the Council on 21 April 2011 a Notice of Motion had been submitted by Councillors D Flude and C Thorley regarding instability in the residential care market in Cheshire East and its potential impact on the finances of the Council. It was requested that a Scrutiny Committee Task and Finish Group be set up to determine the best means of managing demand for residential care including demand from returning self funders.</p> <p><b>RESOLVED</b></p> <p>That the matter be referred to the Adult Social Care Scrutiny Committee with a view to them examining the matter and reporting back on:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The stability of the residential care market in Cheshire East</li> <li>• The availability of residential care at affordable prices in Cheshire East</li> <li>• The success or otherwise of current measures to manage the demand for residential care in Cheshire East.</li> <li>• The success or otherwise of measures to support self funders to remain independent of Council funding for longer</li> </ul>	Membership agreed 22/9.

### Dates of Future Cabinet Meetings

9 January 2012, 6 February 2012, 5 March 2012, 2 April 2012 and 30 April 2012.

### Dates of Future Council Meetings

15 December 2011, 23 February 2012, 19 April 2012 and 16 May 2012.

This page is intentionally left blank